

From: Scrap Mersey Tolls [mailto:scrapmerseytolls@notolls.org.uk]
Sent: Friday, August 2, 2019, 12:09 PM
To: CCBC-KILO
Cc: Disclosure team at Ministry of Justice
Subject: Applicant name on TE3 and TE9 forms for Mersey Gateway

██████████,

As I said last night in a message to ██████████ about "Merseyflow TE7 application rejections", we have been unsure how to respond when the TEC are not giving proper answers. It seems clear that the TEC and the MoJ are covering up what has really been happening.

TEC are not working for Merseyflow

As the TEC know it is not correct that it "processes applications for Halton Borough Council"; the TEC has been working with Merseyflow.

Merseyflow is the name being used by Emovis Operations Mersey Ltd (previously Sanef Tolling Ltd).

I refer you to their accounts etc at Companies House-
<https://beta.companieshouse.gov.uk/company/06652372>

I also refer you to the press statement published on 28th February 2017 by Mersey Gateway Crossings Board Ltd, when 'Merseyflow launched'-
<http://www.merseygateway.co.uk/2017/02/merseyflow-launched-all-you-need-to-know-about-the-tolling-arrangements-for-mersey-gateway/>

Apart from anything else you will see the reference to Anthony Alicastro, "Managing Director of merseyflow", who you will also see on the Companies House 'people' tab for Emovis Operations Mersey Ltd.

Emovis was part of Sanef, but that was taken over by Abertis. There are various references indicating that it is the company who operate the Mersey Gateway tolls, including this one-
<https://www.abertis.com/en/the-group/about-abertis/latest-news/957>

Refusal to supply the requested information

Whoever it is that the TEC have been working with our information request of 28th June was directed at the TEC and was after information on what the TEC had done or should know. It is not reasonable that you should refer us to some other organisation. That you refer us to Halton Borough Council is bizarre.

It seems to us that the TEC and the Ministry are trying to shore up the fiction that the TEC is working for Halton Borough Council and not for the company.

I also refer you to our message to ██████████ last night when we quoted the replies that people got from the Council when they asked for a copy of the response as per the TEC refusal letters which say- "The response from the local authority with regards to your out of time application should already have been served to you."

The standard response from the Council is - "Thank you for your enquiry. Unfortunately, Halton Borough Council do not deal with the Mersey gateway Bridge and any complaints or queries need

to be directed to Merseyflow. You can contact them on 01928 878878 or by emailing them at info@merseyflow.co.uk."

Will the TEC and the Ministry please act properly and provide the information that we asked for on the 28th June?

In any case as with last night's message we will be adding this to the complaint that we already have on Resolver.

Lastly, as the 28th June message was a request for information, we ask that the TEC, and or Ministry, review the refusal to give the requested information.

John McGoldrick
for Scrap Mersey Tolls

From: CCBC-KILO [mailto:CCBC-KILO@justice.gov.uk]
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019, 3:56 PM
To: scrapmerseytolls@notolls.org.uk
Subject: FW: Applicant name on TE3 and TE9 forms for Mersey Gateway

Good afternoon,

TEC processes applications for Halton Borough Council as provided for under the terms of the Civil Procedure Rules.

Any questions relating to the administration of applications to TEC or the issue of warrants should be addressed to Halton Borough Council.

Regards,


County Court Business Centre | HMCTS
St Katharine's House
21 – 27 St Katharine's Street
Northampton
NN1 2LH

CCBC: 
MCOL: 

Protect our environment - do you really need to print this email?
Customer Feedback - we would love to hear from you. [Click here to submit your customer feedback.](#)

For information on how HMCTS uses personal data about you please see:
Here is how HMCTS uses personal data about you

"I am not authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means".

From: Scrap Mersey Tolls [mailto:scrapmerseytolls@notolls.org.uk]
Sent: 28 June 2019 15:54
To: TEC <tec@justice.gov.uk>
Cc: replies <replies@optic.justice.gov.uk>; CCBC-KILO <CCBC-KILO@justice.gov.uk>; Disclosure Team <data.access@Justice.gov.uk>
Subject: Applicant name on TE3 and TE9 forms for Mersey Gateway

As you know we believe that the TEC have been allowing Merseyflow to use the TEC facilities while claiming that it is the 'local authority' who are using the facilities.

As you also know the applicant name that appeared on the TE forms for the Mersey Gateway was shown as "Mersey Gateway Crossing". You may or may not (as the TEC do not send out the forms) be aware that recently the applicant name has been changed to "Halton Borough Council".

We would like some information related to this change.

1. Did the TEC approve the change of the applicant name on the TE3 and TE9?

If so then who exactly requested for the name to be changed? When was the request made and when was the change approved? By "who" we want the full details of who the request for a change came from and we hope that you are not going to use the excuse of data protection to try and avoid revealing who the request came from.

2. The warrants of control also give or gave the applicant name as 'Merseyflow'. It seems to be rare for the people being chased by the enforcement agents to be shown these warrants and even rarer for someone to take a picture of one. So we do not know whether the applicant name has also been changed on the warrants. Has it been changed? If so then we want the same information as at (1)- Who exactly requested for the name to be changed? When was the request made and when was the change approved?

John McGoldrick
Admin
Scrap Mersey Tolls